

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



Date of Audit:

Audit Details ZS: 419575161 ZC: 419453407 Sedex Site Reference: Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex (only available on Sedex System) System) Business name (Company PASIN TEKSTIL SANAYI VE TICARET LTD.STI. name): Site name: PASIN TEKSTIL SANAYI VE TICARET LTD.STI. Site address: Country: TURKIYE/TURKEY **CUMHURIYET** (Please include full address) MAH.BEREKET SOK.NO.28 IC KAPI NO.1 SULTANGAZI -ISTANBUL Site contact and job title: NAIM TASDEMIR - SOSYAL UYGUNLUK SORUMLUSU /SOCIAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBLE Site phone: +90 542 3132983 Site e-mail: naim@pasintekstil.com ☐ Environment Health & SMETA Audit Pillars: \times Labour ☐ Business Ethics Standards Safety (plus 4-pillar **Environment 2-**

Pillar)

A al:4	O	Mana	0 1	
Audit	Company	name	OαL	_000:

13.06.2022



Report Owner (payer):

(If paid for by the customer of the site please remove for Sedex upload)

PASIN TEKSTIL SANAYI VE TICARET LTD.STI.

		Audit Con	ducted By		
Affiliate Audit Company		Purchaser		Retailer	
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union	
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit	(select all that app	y)

Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size): Corona -19 pandemi kuralları gereği 6 çalışan ile bireysel görüşme yapılmıştır ve grup görüşmesi yapılmamıştır. / Due to Corona -19 measures, 6 employees were interviewed individually, and no group interview is held.

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: NESE SEVILIR – LEAD AUDITOR (RA)

Team auditor: NONE

Interviewers: NESE SEVILIR - AUDITOR (RA)

Report writer: NESE SEVILIR - AUDITOR (RA)

Report reviewer: GIZEM OZTURK (REPORT REVIEWER)

Date of declaration: 13.06.2022

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

A: Present at the opening meeting?

	Audit Parameter	s			
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time out: 17:00 Day 2 Time out: NA				Day 3 Time out:
B: Number of auditor days used:	1 DENETCI X 1 GUN / 1 AUDITOR X 1 DAY				
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define:				
D: Was the audit announced?	Announced Semi – announced: Window detail: 13.06.2022 -24.06.2022 Unannounced				
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes No If No, why not If No, w				
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No If Yes , please capture	e detai	il in appropriate	: audi	t by clause
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	NAIM TASDEMIR - SOS COMPLIANCE RESPO		YGUNLUK SORU	MLUS	U /SOCIAL
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☐ No				
I: Previous audit date:	22.02.2019				
J: Previous audit type:	SMETA 4 PILLAR / INITIAL				
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	⊠ Yes □ No				
TOT THIS GOOD	□ N/A				
Audit attendance	Management	Work	er Representativ	ves	
	Senior management		er Committee esentatives	Unic	on representatives

Audit company: INTERTEK Report reference: AU 153551 Date: 13.06.2022 Sedexglobal.com 5

☐ Yes ⊠ No

☐ Yes ⊠ No



B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	İşletmede 1 çalışan temsilcisi bulunmaktadır. 1 çalışan tems görüşme yapılmıştır / There is 1 worker representative at the 1 worker representative is included to the employee intervi		sentative at the facility.
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	İşletmede sendika bulunmamaktadır. /There is no union in the facility.		

Report reference: AU 153551 Audit company: INTERTEK Date: 13.06.2022 Sedexglobal.com



Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

Concent			Corrective	Action Plan – non-	complianc	es			
Non-Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non- compliance, and the system change to prevent re- occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non- compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
1)İŞ SAĞLIĞI VE GÜVENLİĞİ NO:3 ETI, YK	YENI	İşletmede bulunan 2 ütü kazanından 1 tanesinin fenni muayene raporu görülememiştir.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	Lütfen tüm ütü kazanları için uygun fenni muayene raporu sağlayınız.	30 GUN	MASAÜSTÜ	EVET / NAIM TASDEMIR - SOSYAL UYGUNLUK SORUMLUSU		
1)HEALTH AND SAFETY NO:3 ETI, LL	NEW	In the facility, the periodic control report of 1 out of 2 ironing boiler was not observed.		It is recommended to provide the proper technical control reports for the whole ironing boilers.	30 DAYS	DESKTOP	YES / NAIM TASDEMIR - /SOCIAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBLE		
2)İŞ SAĞLIĞI VE GÜVENLİĞİ NO:3 ETI, YK	YENI	İşletmede bulunan 1 insan ve 1 yük asansörüne asansörüne ait güncel fenni muayene raporları	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers	Lütfen tüm asansörler için yetkili kuruluşlardan uygun fenni muayene raporlarını sağlayınız.	60 GUN	MASAÜSTÜ	EVET / NAIM TASDEMIR - SOSYAL UYGUNLUK SORUMLUSU		

SM	ET/	100
2141		1 0

		görülememiştir. Son rapor tarihlerinin miad tarihi : 09.03.2022 ve 10.03.2022	Other – please give details:					
2)HEALTH AND SAFETY NO:3 ETI, LL	NEW	In the facility, the periodic control reports of 1 human and 1 cargo lift were not observed. The last reports were expired on 09.03.2022 and 10.03.2022.		It is recommended to provide the proper technical control reports for the whole lifts from the authorized institutions.	60 DAYS	DESKTOP	YES / NAIM TASDEMIR - /SOCIAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBLE	

	Corrective Action Plan – Observations					
Observation Number The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new observation identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause (completed by the site)	Any improvement actions discussed (Not uploaded on to SEDEX)		
		GÖZLENMEMİŞTİR / NONE OBSERVED				

	Good examples				
Good example Number The reference number of the good example	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments			

from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7		
ODEMELER VE HAKLAR NO:5	İşletmede tüm çalışanlara ücretsiz olarak yemek sağlanmaktadır.	Döküman İncelemesi, Çalışan Görüşmesi ve Yönetim Görüşmesi
WAGES AND BENEFITS NO:5 #1	Meal is provided free of charge to all employees at the facility.	Document Review, Employee Interview and Management Interview



Confirmation

Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.					
A: Site Representative Signature:	NAIM TASDEMIR PASIN TEKSTIL SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI. CUMBUSTAN BEREKET SK NO 28 SUITS SET 15 TAN 212 37 69 27	Title SOSYAL UYGUNLUK SORUMLUSU /SOCIAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBLE			
	Tel 0212 63 C 25 Fax 0212 Kicjukkoy / D 722 047 3948 Mersis No 0722 0473 9420 0017	Date 13.06.2022			
B: Auditor Signature:	NESE SEVILIR	Title BAS DENETCI / LEAD AUDITOR			
	10 2000	Date 13.06.2022			
C: Please indicate below if you, the site	management, dispute any of the findings. No ne	ed to complete D-E, if no disputes.			
D: I dispute the following numbered non	-compliances: NONE-YOKTUR				
E: Signed: (If any entry in box D, please complete	NA	Title NA			
a signature on this line)		Date NA			
F: Any other site Comments: NONE-YOKTUR					

Report reference: AU 153551 Audit company: INTERTEK Date: 13.06.2022 Sedexglobal.com

Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbEoPQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP